

# Guidelines for Abstract Submission and Judging Criteria for ICRAHS 2024

An abstract should provide a concise overview of a research project, encapsulating its context, *objectives*, *methodology*, *findings*, and *conclusions*.

#### **Abstract Track**

Please carefully **choose the category** that most accurately best aligns with the subject of your abstract. Mention **Track Number**: **Theme/Subtheme** of the conference.

#### **General Guidelines:**

All abstracts should be composed in English and formatted with Times New Roman font, size 12, employing single-spacing. Keep the abstract's length under 300 words, and avoid including tables or graphs within it. Organize the abstract under background/introduction, methods, results/findings, conclusions, references, and keywords.

## 1) Title

The title must be clear, concise, informative, and a good reflection of the project.

The title should be no longer than 20 words.

## 2) Authors and Affiliations:

Provide relevant information and authors' details, inclusive of their names, institutional affiliations, and e-mail addresses.

#### 3) Introduction:

The introduction should offer crucial context and articulate the significance of your chosen topic. Briefly indicate the problem statement and research question/objective(s) of the respective study.

## 4) Methods:

Describe the study setting, design, study population, sample size/recruitment of study participants, data collection tools, and methods of analysis.

## 5) Results/findings:

Present as clearly and detailed as possible the results/findings of the study, with specific results in summarized form.

#### 6) Conclusions:

Discuss the data and outcome of the study. Emphasize the future implications of the results and policy relevance.

## 7) References:

References should be formatted according to a recognized citation style (Inclusive of APA, MLA, and Chicago).

# 8) Keywords:

Provide 3-6 keywords that are relevant to your research.

#### Note:

<u>Ethical Research</u>: We endorse research that adheres to ethical protocols approved by institutional or local ethics committees for human investigations. In the absence of such committees, research should align with the principles outlined in the Declaration of Helsinki by the World Medical Association.

**Notification Process**: Notifications regarding acceptance or rejection will be sent exclusively to the corresponding author. The corresponding author is responsible for communicating the abstract's status to all co-authors. Accepted authors will receive instructions for presenting their abstract.

*Final Decision*: The Scientific Programme Committee's decision is conclusive, and appeals will not be entertained.